arXiv:1610.05914v2 [math.GR] 22 Nov 2016

ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FROBENIUS GROUPS

LEI WANG

Abstract. This is one of a series papers which aim towards to solve the problem of determining automorphism groups of Frobenius groups. This one solves the problem in the case where the Frobenius kernels are elementary abelian and Frobenius complements are cyclic.

Keywords. Frobenius group, automorphism group

1. Introduction

A Frobenius group G is a semidirect product of a normal subgroup N by a subgroup H such that none of the non-identity elements of H centralizes a non-identity element of N, where N is called the *Frobenius kernel* and H is called a *Frobenius complement* of G. Furthermore, by the well-known result of Thompson, the Frobenius kernel is a nilpotent group, and each Sylow subgroup of a Frobenius complement is a metacyclic group with restricted properties.

Frobenius groups form an important class of groups, and have been extensively studied in the literature, refer to [5, 9, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21]. A natural problem arises

Problem A. Determine automorphism groups of Frobenius groups.

The problem is unsolved yet. This paper solves it in the case where the Frobenius kernels are elementary abelian, and Frobenius complements are cyclic.

Frobenius group have played an important role not only in group theory, but also in various applications, refer to [13, p.320-324] for the applications in algebraic structures, and see [2, 4, 7, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17] for the applications in algebraic graph theory. In these applications, determining automorphism groups of certain Frobenius groups is a crucial step. This is actually one of our principle motivations for the work of this paper.

In order to state our results, we need to introduce some notation. For a finite group G and a field $\mathbb{F}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}G)$ a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$-modules. Two distinct elements $U, V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}G)$ means that U, V are non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$-modules. Let $H \leqslant G$, and let V be a $\mathbb{F}G$-module. We denote by V_H the $\mathbb{F}H$-module obtained by restricting the action of $\mathbb{F}G$ on V to $\mathbb{F}H$. For positive integers a, m, we call m the order of a modulo n if n divides $a^m - 1$ but n does not divide $a^i - 1$ for $i < m$, and denote m by $\mathrm{ord}_n(a)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = V{:}H = \mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{C}_n$ be a Frobenius group, where p is a prime, and d, n are positive integers. Set $M = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. Then we have*

(i) *$V_M = V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_s$, where $V_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_pM)$, and $V_i \ncong V_j$;*

Date: November 23, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05, 20C15, 20F28.

(ii) $(V_i)_H = V_{i1}^{e_i} \times V_{i2}^{e_i} \times \cdots \times V_{i\ell}^{e_i}$ *and* $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_{ij} = f$, *where* $V_{ij} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$, $V_{ij} \ncong V_{ik}$, *and* $f = \mathrm{ord}_n(p)$;
(iii) $\mathsf{Aut}(G) = V.((\mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f)^\ell \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_s, p^f)^\ell).\mathbf{C}_f).L$, *where* $\mathrm{C}_f.L \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H)$, $|L| = \ell$ *and* $(e_1 + \cdots + e_s) f \ell = d$.

Note that C_f in Theorem 1.1 is the group of Frobenius automorphisms of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$, which induces an automorphism group of H (and C_{p^f-1}) of order f. We sometimes call such C_f and its subgroups the field (or Frobenius) automorphism groups of H (and C_{p^f-1}).

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $G = V{:}H = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k){:}H$ *be a Frobenius group, where* $V_i = \mathrm{C}_{p_i}^{d_i}$ *and* $H = \mathrm{C}_n$, *for distinct primes* p_i *and positive integers* d_i, k, n. *Then* $H < \mathsf{Aut}(V) = \mathrm{GL}(d_1, p_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(d_k, p_k)$, *and* $M := \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H)$ *is such that the following statements hold:*

(i) $(V_i)_M = V_{i1} \times V_{i2} \times \cdots \times V_{is_i}$, *where* $V_{ij} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i} M)$, *and* $V_{ij} \ncong V_{ik}$;
(ii) $(V_{ij})_H = V_{ij1}^{e_{ij}} \times V_{ij2}^{e_{ij}} \times \cdots \times V_{ij\ell_i}^{e_{ij}}$ *and* $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}} V_{ijk} = f_i$, *where* $V_{ijk} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i} H)$, $V_{ijk} \ncong V_{ijl}$, *and* $f_i = \mathrm{ord}_n(p_i)$;
(iii) $\mathsf{Aut}(G) = V.((\prod_{i,j}^{k,s_i} \mathrm{GL}(e_{ij}, p_i^{f_i})^{\ell_i}).L)$, *where* $L \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H)$, $\frac{|L|}{\ell_i}$ *is the order of field automorphism group induced on* $\mathrm{C}_{p_i^{f_i}-1}$ *in* L, *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} f_i e_{ij} \ell_i = d_i$ *for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.

In subsequent work, we apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to characterise a class of finite groups, and their Cayley graphs.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we introduce some definitions and quote some preliminary results which will be used later. For a group G, denote by $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ the center of G. For a group T and an integer ℓ, by T^ℓ we mean the direct product of ℓ copies of T. For a positive integer n and a prime p, denote by C_n and C_p^n a cyclic group of order n and an elementary abelian group of order p^n. For two groups N and K, denote by $N{:}K$ and $N \times K$ the split extension of N by K and the direct product of N and K, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* G *be a finite group with* $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. *Then* $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(G)}(\mathsf{Inn}(G)) = 1$.

Proof. For any $\tilde{g} \in \mathsf{Inn}(G)$, we mean that an action of $\tilde{g}$ on G induced by g by conjugation, where $g \in G$. Let $C := \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(G)}(\mathsf{Inn}(G))$. Assume, if possible, that $C \neq 1$. Take some $\sigma \in C \setminus \{1\}$. Then, for any $\tilde{g} \in \mathsf{Inn}(G)$, we have $\sigma^{-1}\tilde{g}\sigma = \tilde{g}$, and hence

$$x^{\sigma^{-1}\tilde{g}\sigma} = x^{\tilde{g}} \text{ for any } x \in G.$$

It follows that $(g^\sigma)^{-1} x g^\sigma = g^{-1} x g$. So $g^\sigma g^{-1}$ centralizes x, and hence $g^\sigma g^{-1}$ fixes G pointwise. Note that $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$, we deduce that $g^\sigma g^{-1} = 1$. Thus $g^\sigma = g$, and so σ fixes G pointwise, and whence $\sigma = 1$. This contradiction completes the proof. □

Let $G = V{:}H$ be a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel V and a Frobenius complement H. First observe that $\mathsf{Inn}(G) \cong G$ since $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will identify G with $\mathsf{Inn}(G)$ a normal subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$, refer to Lemma 2.1, unless specified otherwise.

Lemma 2.2. *Using the notation introduced above, we have*

(1) $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(G)}(V) = \mathbf{Z}(V)$;

(2) *if V is abelian, $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ and H induce the subgroups $\overline{A} := \mathsf{Aut}(G)/V$ and $\overline{H} := G/V \cong H$ of $\mathsf{Aut}(V)$, respectively, such that $\overline{H} \lhd \overline{A}$ and $\overline{A} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(\overline{H})$. Moreover, $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the holomorph of V.*

Proof. (1) Let $A := \mathsf{Aut}(G)$. By the convention made above, we have

$$V \,\mathsf{char}\, V{:}H = G \lhd A,$$

and thus $V \lhd A$. Let $C := \mathbf{C}_A(V)$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(V)$ is abelian, we obtain $\mathbf{Z}(V) \leqslant C$. We will show in fact $C = \mathbf{Z}(V)$.

Choose any $\theta \in C$. Since $V \lhd A$, we deduce that $C \trianglelefteq A$. By the definition of G, we have $G \cap C = \mathbf{C}_G(V) = \mathbf{Z}(V)$. Let $\overline{G} = G/\mathbf{Z}(V)$, and $\overline{C} = C/\mathbf{Z}(V)$. Note that G and C are two normal subgroups of A, we obtain that $GC/\mathbf{Z}(V) = \overline{G} \times \overline{C}$. It follows that, for any $g \in G$, we have that $[\theta, g^{-1}]$ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(V)$, which forces g^θ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(V)g$. Thus, for any $g \in G$, we get $g^\theta = x_g g$, where $x_g \in \mathbf{Z}(V)$.

By the above paragraph, h^θ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(V){:}H$ for any $h \in H$. Note that H and H^θ are two Hall subgroups of $\mathbf{Z}(V){:}H$. It follows from Hall's Theorem that there exists some $y \in \mathbf{Z}(V)$ such that $H^{\theta y^{-1}} = H$. Then, for any $h \in H$, we have $h^{\theta y^{-1}} = h'$, where $h' \in H$. By the definition of θ, we conclude $h = h'$, and thus $h^{\theta y^{-1}} = h$ for any $h \in H$. Since θ fixes V pointwise, it follows that for any $v \in V$, we have $v^{\theta y^{-1}} = v$. It further implies that for any $g \in G$, we obtain $g^{\theta y^{-1}} = g$, and hence $\theta = y$, refer to Lemma 2.1. So $C = \mathbf{Z}(V)$.

(2) Suppose that V is abelian. Then $\mathbf{Z}(V) = V$. The group A induces a subgroup $\overline{A} := A/V$ of $\mathsf{Aut}(V)$ that contains the subgroup $\overline{H} \cong H$ induced by the conjugation action of H. Note that $\overline{H} = VH/V = G/V \trianglelefteq \overline{A}$. It follows that $\overline{A} \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(\overline{H})$. We will show in fact $\overline{A} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(\overline{H})$.

Let $X := R(V){:}\mathsf{Aut}(V)$ be the holomorph of V. Then the subgroup $R(V){:}\overline{A}$ of X has a normal subgroup $\overline{G} := R(V){:}\overline{H} \cong \mathsf{Inn}(G) \cong G$. Since the action of $\overline{H}$ on V is by definition the same as the action of H on V, it follows that $A \cong R(V){:}\overline{A}$ is a subgroup of X. Each element $z \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(\overline{H})$ normalises the subgroup $\overline{G}$ of X, and thus induces an automorphism of $\overline{G}$ by conjugation. Thus z is an automorphism of G which is induced by an automorphism of $G \cong \overline{G}$, and hence $z \in \overline{A}$. Consequently, $\overline{A} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(\overline{H})$, and this ends the proof. □

Finally, we quote a result about Maschke's theorem, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.3. (see [6, p.39]) *Let π be a set of primes and let a π'-group H act on an abelian π-group G. Suppose that $G = A \times B$ and A is fixed by H. Then there exists a subgroup K of G such that $G = A \times K$ and H fixes both A and K.*

3. The automorphism groups of Frobenius groups $\mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{C}_n$

In this section, we determine the full automorphism groups of Frobenius groups. Throughout the remainder of this note, we denote by G a Frobenius group, unless specified otherwise.

Let $G = V{:}H$. By Lemma 2.2, $\mathsf{Aut}(G)/V \cong \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H)$. Therefore, in order to determine $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$, we only need to determine the normaliser

$$M := \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H).$$

Next, we assume that $V = \mathrm{C}_p^d$ is an elementary abelian p-group where p is a prime, and $H = \mathrm{C}_n$ is cyclic. Then the Frobenius group

$$G = V{:}H = \mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{C}_n.$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be a field of order p. View C_p^d as a vector space of dimension d over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and denoted by V. The action of H on the vector space V can be further viewed as a faithful $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module.

Now H is a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(V) = \mathrm{GL}(V) = \mathrm{GL}(d,p)$, and

$$M{:} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H).$$

That is to say, $\mathsf{Aut}(G)/V = M$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(d,p)$ which has a cyclic normal subgroup H.

If H is irreducible on V, then the normaliser M has been determined via reference to [11, Theorem 7.3, p.187]:

$$M = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(1,p^d).$$

We thus assume that H is reducible on V. By Lemma 2.3, V can be decomposed as

$$V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_t$$

such that H normalises each V_i, and V_i is irreducible with respect to the action of H. If all V_i are pairwise isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_pH$-submodules of V, then we call $V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_t$ a homogeneous decomposition of V.

In the case where H is reducible and homogeneous on V, the normaliser M is determined in the proof of [4, Lemma 4.5] (see the second paragraph of the proof on page 14). For the completeness, we state it in the next lemma and give a short proof here.

By [3], all faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules have equal dimension, say f. It furthermore implies that f is the order of p modulo n. Throughout this section, denote by f this common dimension, unless specified otherwise.

Lemma 3.1. *If V is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module, then $M = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t,p^f)$ where $d = tf$.*

Proof. Since V is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module, we may write

$$V_H = U^t = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_t,$$

where $U \cong V_i$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module. Then U is of dimension f, and hence $tf = d$. By [1, 27.14], we have that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(t,p^f).$$

Since the centraliser $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ is normal in the normaliser M, we have

$$M \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)) = \mathrm{GL}(t,p^f).\mathrm{C}_f = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t,p^f),$$

where C_f is a group of Frobenius automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$. Furthermore, note that the cyclic group H char C_{p^f-1}, and C_{p^f-1} is the center of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(t,p^f)$. It follows that H is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(t,p^f)$. This implies that $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t,p^f)$. Hence $M = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t,p^f)$. □

We thus assume that the $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module V is not homogeneous. Although H is reducible, the overgroup $M = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ may be irreducible. We proceed our proof in two subsections, which treat the irreducible and reducible cases, respectively.

3.1. **The normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ is irreducible.** In this subsection, we always assume that M acts irreducibly on V. Note that $H \trianglelefteq M$, by Clifford's Theorem, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m$$

such that all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic and homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules, and M acts transitively on $\{W_1, \ldots, W_m\}$. It implies that

$$M \leqslant (\mathrm{GL}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(W_m)){:}\mathrm{Sym}([m]) = \mathrm{GL}(W) \wr \mathrm{Sym}([m]),$$

where $W \cong W_i$ for each i and $[m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. For convenience, we denote by B the group $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{GL}(W_i)$ in the following.

Remark: In what follows, we will see in fact that M can be embedded as a subgroup into $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) \wr \mathrm{Sym}([m])$, where $ef = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} W$.

Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_n$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Then the group $G = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m){:}H$ can be embedded as a Frobenius subgroup into

$$(W_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (W_m{:}H_m)$$

such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If M acts irreducibly on V, then $h_i \neq h_j^{p^k}$ for any $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$, where k is an integer.*

Proof. As before, let $G = V{:}H$. By Clifford's Theorem, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m$$

such that all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules.

Since M acts irreducibly on V, it follows that M acts transitively on $\{W_1, \ldots, W_m\}$, and hence we may write

$$W_i = V_i^e \cong V_{i1} \times \cdots \times V_{ie},$$

where $V_i \cong V_{ij}$ is an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Thus (see [11, p.187, Theorem 7.3]) we may identify each V_i with a field $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of order p^f, and then view W_i as a vector space of dimension e over $\mathbb{F}$. By definition, we obtain that the action of h_i on W_i is the same as the action of h on W_i, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Recall that each W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module, we deduce that h_i acts on each $x \in V_{ij}$ by $h_i : x \to (\omega^{r_i p^{s_{ij}}})x$ for all i, j, where ω belongs to $\mathbb{F}^\times$, of order n, each r_i is coprime to n, and all s_{ij} are non-negative integers, refer to [1, 27.14]. Note that all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules. It follows that all r_i are pairwise distinct.

Let χ_i be the character of V_i over field $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. By [1, 25.10],

$$\chi_i(h) = \chi_i(h_i) = \omega^{r_i} + \omega^{r_i p} + \cdots + \omega^{r_i p^{f-1}}.$$

Suppose, if possible, that there exist two h_{i_0} and h_{j_0} such that $h_{i_0} = h_{j_0}^{p^k}$ for some k. By the above paragraph, we conclude that $r_{i_0} = p^k r_{j_0}$, and thus, $\chi_{i_0}(h) = \chi_{j_0}(h)$. It is well-known that any two irreducible representations of H over $\mathbb{F}_p$ are equivalent if and only if their characters equal. It follows that V_{i_0} and V_{j_0} are isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules, which further implies that W_{i_0} and W_{j_0} are isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules. This contradiction completes the proof. □

Recall that M acts transitively on $\{W_1, \ldots, W_m\}$. It follows that all W_i have the same dimension, say ef. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we may identify each W_i with an $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}H$-module of dimension e.

Lemma 3.3. $\mathbf{C}_{B\cap M}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, *where* $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. As mentioned above, the group $G = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m){:}H$ can be embedded as a subgroup into

$$(W_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (W_m{:}H_m),$$

such that $H = \langle h\rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$, where $H_i = \langle h_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_n$ for each i.

Let $G_i = W_i{:}H_i$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Note that the action of h_i on W_i is by definition the same as the action of h on W_i. It follows that G_i is a Frobenius group, and W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH_i$-module. By Lemma 3.1, we have that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(W_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} W_i = ef$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

For any $c \in \mathbf{C}_{B\cap M}(H)$, c can be written as

$$c = (c_1, \ldots, c_m), \text{ where } c_i \in \mathrm{GL}(W_i) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m.$$

Then we have

$$(h_1^{c_1}, \ldots, h_m^{c_m}) = h^c = h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m).$$

It follows that $h_i^{c_i} = h_i$, forcing that $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. This further implies that $\mathbf{C}_{B\cap M}(H) \leqslant \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, and thus this lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.4. $B \cap M = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m.\mathrm{C}_f$, *where* $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. For arbitrary i, let $G_i = W_i{:}H_i \cong W_i{:}\mathrm{C}_n$ where G_i, W_i and H_i are as Lemma 3.3. Then G can be embedded as a subgroup into $G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_m$ such that $H = \langle h\rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$, where $H_i = \langle h_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_n$. For any $\sigma \in (B \cap M) \setminus \mathbf{C}_{B\cap M}(H)$, we have

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m) \text{ where } \sigma_i \in \mathrm{GL}(W_i) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m.$$

Since σ belongs to M, there exists an integer λ such that

$$(h_1^{\sigma_1}, \ldots, h_m^{\sigma_m}) = h^\sigma = h^\lambda = (h_1^\lambda, \ldots, h_m^\lambda).$$

It follows that $h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^\lambda$, and hence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

Note that the action of h_i on W_i is by definition the same as the action of h on W_i. It follows that W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH_i$-module. By Lemma 3.1, we have that $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(W_i)}(H_i) = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f)$, and so $\sigma_i \in \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \leqslant B \cap M \leqslant \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f)^m.$$

So H_i and H are embedded as a normal diagonal subgroup into $\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, respectively. Let $(W_i)_{H_i} = V_{i1} \times \cdots \times V_{ie}$, where all V_{ij} are pairwise isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH_i$-modules. Arguing similarly as Lemma 3.2, we may identify W_i with a vector space of dimension e over $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$, and then h_i acts on each $x \in V_{ij}$ by $h_i : x \to \omega^{r_i}x$ for all i, j, where ω belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$, of order n, and each r_i is coprime to n (or see Lemma 3.1 for reference).

Let $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)\langle\alpha\rangle$. For this case, we may embed $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$ as a normal subgroup into $\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)$. Then α induces a Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$ of order f. By the above paragraph, the action of h_i on each V_{ij} is equivalent to the action of ω^{r_i} on V_{ij} by left multiplication. It follows that α induces a field automorphism of H_i of order f, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Let $\phi = (\alpha, \ldots, \alpha)$. By the above discussion,

ϕ is a Frobenius automorphism of H, which is of order f. By Proposition 3.6, $B \cap M = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m.\mathrm{C}_f$, and whence the result. This completes the proof □

According to Lemma 3.3, H can be embedded as a normal diagonal subgroup into

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f).$$

Note that $B \cap M \trianglelefteq M$, we conclude that $\mathbf{C}_{B\cap M}(H) \trianglelefteq M$, and thus we deduce that

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \leqslant M \leqslant \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) \wr \mathrm{Sym}([m]).$$

Lemma 3.5. *With the notation above, then* $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Sym}([m])}(H) = 1$.

Proof. Recall that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$. For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}([m])$, we deduce from the above discussion that σ acts on H by permuting coordinators (if any). That is to say, σ acts on H by

$$h^{\sigma^{-1}} = (\ldots, h_{i^\sigma}, \ldots, h_{j^\sigma}, \ldots, h_{k^\sigma}, \ldots).$$

If $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$, then $h^{\sigma^{-1}} = h$, and hence

$$h_i = h_{i^\sigma},\ h_j = h_{j^\sigma},\ \ldots,\ h_k = h_{k^\sigma}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain σ fixes $[m]$ pointwise. It follows that $\sigma = 1$, and thus the statement follows. □

Recall that $G = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m){:}H$ can be embedded as a Frobenius subgroup into

$$(W_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (W_m{:}H_m)$$

such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$ where $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_n$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Let $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)\langle\alpha\rangle$. Note that in the proof of Lemma 3.4 the group $\langle\alpha\rangle$ is the field automorphism group of H_i, which is of order f. Thus, for any $\sigma \in M$, σ can be written as

$$\sigma = c(\alpha^{k_1}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_m})\pi_\sigma^{-1},$$

where $c \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, all k_i are positive integers, and $\pi_\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}([m])$.

Proposition 3.6. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, *where* $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. Suppose, if possible, that $\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m < \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. For any $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$, by the above discussion, we have

$$\sigma = c(\alpha^{k_1}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_m})\pi_\sigma^{-1},$$

where c, k_i and π_σ are as above. If σ is not included in $\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$, then we deduce that $\pi_\sigma \neq 1$. For notational convenience, we may assume that

$$\pi_\sigma = (1_1 1_2 \cdots 1_{s_1})(2_1 2_2 \cdots 2_{s_2}) \cdots (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{s_t}),$$

where all i_j belong to $[m]$. Relabeling if necessary, h and σ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (h_{1_1}, \ldots, h_{i_j}, \ldots, h_{t_{s_t}}), \text{ and} \\ \sigma &= c(\alpha^{k_{1_1}}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_{i_j}}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_{t_{s_t}}})\pi_\sigma^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where all k_{i_j} are non-negative integers. By Lemma 3.3, we obtain that H can be embedded as a normal diagonal subgroup into

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f),$$

and thus $\langle h_i\rangle$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(e,p^f)$. By the definition, we may assume that α acts on each $x\in\mathrm{GL}(e,p^f)$ by $\alpha: x\to x^p$. It follows that $h_{i_j}^{\alpha}=h_{i_j}^{p}$. Then we have

$$(h_{1_1}^{p^{k_{1_1}}},\dots,h_{i_j}^{p^{k_{i_j}}},\dots,h_{t_{s_t}}^{p^{k_{t_{s_t}}}})^{\pi_\sigma^{-1}}=h^\sigma=h=(h_{1_1},\dots,h_{i_j},\dots,h_{t_{s_t}}).$$

By easy calculations, we obtain, for any i, that

$$h_{i_j}^{p^{k_{i_j}}}=h_{i_{j-1}}\ \text{(reading the subscripts } j \text{ modular } s_i).$$

It follows that each h_{i_j} is determined by h_{i_1} and the field automorphisms, which is a contradiction, see Lemma 3.2. Hence the statement holds. □

For the rest of this subsection, we denote by ϕ the field automorphism $(\alpha,\dots,\alpha)$, where α is as above. To sum up, we have

$$M=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)L,\ \text{where}\ \langle\phi\rangle\leqslant L\leqslant\langle\alpha\rangle\wr\mathrm{Sym}([m]).$$

In what follows, we will determine L. For any $\sigma\in L$, σ can be written as

$$\sigma=(\alpha^{k_1},\dots,\alpha^{k_m})\pi_\sigma,$$

where all k_i are positive integers, and $\pi_\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}([m])$.

Lemma 3.7. *If $\pi_\sigma=g_1\cdots g_t$, then $o(g_1)=\cdots=o(g_t)$, where all g_i are pairwise disjoint cycles.*

Proof. Recall that V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-module. By Clifford' Theorem,

$$V_H=W_1\times\cdots\times W_m,$$

where all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules.

Let $N=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle$. For any $\beta\in M\setminus N$, we claim that W_i^β and W_i are non-equivalent $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules, where $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$.

Let $W_i=V_i^e$, where V_i is an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module. Assume, if possible, that both W_i^β and W_i are equivalent $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules. Then V_i^β and V_i are equivalent $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules. Let χ_i be the character of V_i over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then χ_i^β is the character of V_i^β over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}V_i=f$. By [1, 25.10], $\chi_i(h)=\sum_{j=1}^f\omega^{p^{j-1}}$, where $\omega\in\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$, of order n. Let $h^{\beta^{-1}}=h^\lambda$, where λ is an integer. Then $\chi_i^\beta(h)=\chi_i(h^{\beta^{-1}})=\sum_{j=1}^f(\omega^\lambda)^{p^{j-1}}$. By [12, 9.20], we conclude that $\lambda\equiv p^k\,(\mathsf{mod}\ n)$ for some k. It follows that the action of β on H is induced by the field automorphism, which contradicts the choice of β. This establishes the claim. Arguing similarly as above, we have that, for any $\gamma\in\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle$, W_i^γ and W_i are isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_pH$-modules. Again by Clifford's Theorem, we obtain that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle$ has the index m in M.

Set $T=\{t\in M\,|\,W_1^t\cong W_1\,(\mathbb{F}_pH\text{-isomorphic})\}$. It is clear that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle$ is a subgroup of T. Since $M/\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ is a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(H)$, we conclude $M/(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle)$ is abelian, and hence M/T is abelian, and of order m. By Clifford's Theorem, M/T acts regularly on $\{W_1,\dots,W_m\}$. It implies that $o(g_i)=o(g_j)$ for any i,j, completing the proof. □

According to Lemma 3.7, we may assume that $\pi_\sigma^{-1}=(1_11_2\cdots1_s)\cdots(t_1t_2\cdots t_s)$. Relabeling if necessary, both h and σ can be rewritten as

$$h=(h_0^{r_{1_1}},\dots,h_0^{r_{i_j}},\dots,h_0^{r_{t_s}}),\ \text{and}$$
$$\sigma=(\alpha^{k_{1_1}},\dots,\alpha^{k_{i_j}},\dots,\alpha^{k_{t_s}})\pi_\sigma^{-1},$$

where $\langle h_0\rangle = \mathrm{C}_n$, all r_{i_j} are coprime to n, and all k_{i_j} are non-negative integers.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume $h^\sigma = h^\lambda$. Then, for any i, we have*

(i) $r_{i_j}^{-1} r_{i_j-1}\lambda \equiv p^{k_{i_j}} \pmod{n}$ *(reading the subscripts j modular s);*
(ii) $p^{k_{i_1}+\cdots+k_{i_s}} \equiv \lambda^s \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Since $h^\sigma = h^\lambda$, we have

$$(\ldots, h_0^{r_{i_2}p^{k_{i_2}}}, \ldots, h_0^{r_{i_s}p^{k_{i_s}}}, h_0^{r_{i_1}p^{k_{i_1}}}, \ldots) = (\ldots, h_0^{r_{i_1}\lambda}, \ldots, h_0^{r_{i_s}\lambda}, h_0^{r_{i_1}\lambda}, \ldots).$$

It follows that $h_0^{p^{k_{i_j}}r_{i_j}} = h_0^{r_{i_j-1}\lambda}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and thus $r_{i_j}^{-1} r_{i_j-1}\lambda \equiv p^{k_{i_j}} \pmod{n}$, and the part (i) follows. By multiplying both sides of the above congruent equations, the part (ii) holds. □

On the other hand, suppose $[m] = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \ldots \cup \Delta_t$, where $|\Delta_i| = s$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Relabeling if necessary, we may assume that $\Delta_i = \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Let

$$\pi_\sigma^{-1} = (1_1 1_2 \cdots 1_s) \cdots (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_s).$$

Set

$$\sigma_\lambda = (\alpha^{k_{1_1}}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_{i_j}}, \ldots, \alpha^{k_{t_s}})\pi_\sigma^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.9. *If there exists some λ such that each $r_{i_j}^{-1} r_{i_j-1}\lambda$ (reading the subscripts j modular s) is induced by the field automorphism $\alpha^{k_{i_j}}$, then $\sigma_\lambda \in M$. In particular, if $k_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{f}$ for any i, j, then $\pi_\lambda \in M \cap \mathrm{Sym}([m])$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have that $r_{i_j}^{-1} r_{i_j-1}\lambda \equiv p^{k_{i_j}} \pmod{n}$. By a small calculations, we obtain that $h^{\sigma_\lambda} = h^\lambda$, and thus the statement follows. □

Proposition 3.10. *$M = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H).\mathrm{C}_f).\overline{L}$, where C_f is the Frobenius automorphism group of H, and $\overline{L}$ is abelian and regular on $[m]$.*

Proof. As mentioned above, V can be decomposed as an $\mathbb{F}_p H$-module as

$$V_H = W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m,$$

where all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$-modules. Set $T = \{t \in M \mid W_1^t \cong W_1 \,(\mathbb{F}_p H\text{-isomorphic})\}$. By Lemma 3.4, we conclude that $T = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle\phi\rangle = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H).\mathrm{C}_f$, where C_f is the group of Frobenius automorphisms of H, which is induced by ϕ. Note that $M = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)L$, and $\langle\phi\rangle \leqslant L$, it follows that L induces a permutation group $L/\langle\phi\rangle$ on $[m]$. Recall that V is an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module, we deduce that $L/\langle\phi\rangle$ is regular on $[m]$. Let $\overline{L} = L/\langle\phi\rangle$. Then $M = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H).\mathrm{C}_f).\overline{L}$, where $\overline{L}$ is abelian of order m and regular on $[m]$, completing the proof. □

Remark. Although we have already characterized the normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$, refer to Lemmas 3.8-3.9 and Proposition 3.10, the structure of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ can not be completely determined because there are many ways to embed H as a subgroup into $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that G is a Frobenius group when $|H|$ is arbitrary large.

Example 3.11. Case 1: Let $G = V{:}H = \mathrm{C}_{31}^4{:}\mathrm{C}_{15}$ be a Frobenius group. Write $H = \langle h\rangle$. Assume first that

$$h = (h_0, h_0^4, h_0^{-4}, h_0^{-1}), \text{ where } o(h_0) = 15.$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 3.2, V can be decomposed as

$$V = V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3 \times V_4$$

such that all V_i are pairwise non-isomorphic and irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{31}H$-modules. It is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{31}} V_i = 1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. A direct check shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(12)(34)} &= (h_0^4, h_0, h_0^{-1}, h_0^{-4}) = h^4, \\ h^{(13)(24)} &= (h_0^{-4}, h_0^{-1}, h_0, h_0^4) = h^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{C}_2^2 \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H)$. By Proposition 3.10, we have that

$$\mathsf{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{C}_{31}^4{:}(\mathrm{C}_{30}^4{:}\mathrm{C}_2^2).$$

Assume now that $h = (h_0, h_0^7, h_0^4, h_0^{13})$. Arguing similarly as above, we obtain that

$$\mathsf{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{C}_{31}^4{:}(\mathrm{C}_{30} \wr \mathrm{C}_4).$$

Case 2: Let $G = V{:}H = \mathrm{C}_7^{16}{:}\mathrm{C}_{60}$ be a Frobenius group, and let $H = \langle h \rangle$ with

$$h = (h_0^7, h_0^{11}, h_0^{31}, h_0^{47}), \text{ where } o(h_0) = 60.$$

By Lemma 2.3 along with Lemma 3.2, V can be decomposed as

$$V = V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3 \times V_4$$

such that all V_i are pairwise non-isomorphic and irreducible $\mathbb{F}_7 H$-modules. Clearly, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_7} V_i = 4$ for each i. Let α be an automorphism of $\langle h_0 \rangle$ such that $h_0^\alpha = h_0^7$. Set

$$\begin{gathered} \phi = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha), \text{ and} \\ L = \{(\alpha, \alpha^3, \alpha^3, \alpha)(12)(34), (\alpha, \alpha^3, \alpha^3, \alpha)(13)(24), (14)(23), 1\}. \end{gathered}$$

Arguing similarly as above, we have that $\mathsf{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{C}_7^{16}{:}(\mathrm{GL}(1, 7^4)^4{:}\langle\phi\rangle{:}L)$.

3.2. **The normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ is reducible.** In this subsection, we begin with considering the case where V is a reducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module.

By Lemma 2.3, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = V_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times V_t^{e_t},$$

where all $V_i^{e_i}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic faithful and homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$-modules, all V_i are faithful and irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H$-modules, and all e_i are positive integers. As mentioned above, we denote by f the common dimension of all V_i.

Remark. According to Lemma 3.1, we obtain $t \leqslant \varphi(n)/f$, where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function.

Lemma 3.12. $\mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_t, p^f) \leqslant \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$.

Proof. Let $W_i = V_i^{e_i}$, and $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Then the group $G = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_t){:}H$ can be embedded as a Frobenius subgroup into

$$(W_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (W_t{:}H_t)$$

such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)$. By definition, we obtain that the action of h_i on W_i is the same as the action of h on W_i. It follows that W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$-module, and hence $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)$, see Lemma 3.1. Thus the statement follows. □

Let $X \leqslant Y$, and let V be an $\mathbb{F}X$-module where $\mathbb{F}$ is a field. We denote by V^Y an induced module from X to Y.

Lemma 3.13. *$V_M = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s$, where all U_i are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-modules.*

Proof. As mentioned before, $V_H = V_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times V_t^{e_t}$, where all V_i and e_i are as above. Let $T_1 = \{m \in M \mid V_1^m \cong V_1 \,(\mathbb{F}_pH\text{-isomorphic})\}$. Let $\overline{U}_1$ be any irreducible constituent of $V_1^{T_1}$. Then V_1 is an irreducible constituent of $(\overline{U}_1)_H$. By [12, 6.11], $\overline{U}_1^M$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-module. As a convenience, we denote by U_1 that module. Note that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ is a subgroup of T_1, we deduce that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_pH}((U_1)_H, V_1) = e_1$. Recall that V is a reducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-module. Repeating the above process, we obtain all irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-submodules of V. Without loss of generality, we assume that $U_1, \ldots, U_s$ are all pairwise non-isomorphic and irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-submodules of V. As discussed above, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_pH}((U_i)_H, V_i) = e_i$ in case V_i is an irreducible constituent of $(U_i)_H$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$.

Let $\overline{V} = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s$. Suppose, if possible, that $\overline{V}$ is a proper submodule of V. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\overline{V}_H = V_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times V_{t'}^{e_{t'}}$, where $t' < t$, see Lemma 2.3. By the above paragraph, there is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-submodule of V, say U' such that V_t is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH$-submodule of U'_H. By the choice of U', we conclude that $U' \cap U_i = 0$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, which is a contradiction. Thus $V = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s$. This completes the proof. □

Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Then the group $G = (U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s){:}H$ can be embedded into

$$(U_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (U_s{:}H_s),$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_s)$.

Lemma 3.14. *With the notation above, then $M \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, $V_M = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s$, where all U_i are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pM$-modules. Let $\Omega = \{U_1, \ldots, U_s\}$, and $A_{(\Omega)} = \mathrm{GL}(U_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(U_s)$. Since M fixes Ω pointwise, it follows that $M \leqslant A_{(\Omega)}$. That is to say,

$$M \leqslant \mathrm{GL}(U_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(U_s).$$

For any $\sigma \in M$, we may write $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s)$, where $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{GL}(U_i)$ for all i. Then there exists a positive integer λ such that $h^\sigma = h^\lambda$, that is,

$$(h_1^{\sigma_1}, \ldots, h_s^{\sigma_s}) = (h_1^\lambda, \ldots, h_s^\lambda).$$

It follows that $h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^\lambda$, and hence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Thus $M \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$, and whence the statement. □

Lemma 3.15. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$.

Proof. Pick arbitrary $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. By Lemma 3.14, c can be written as

$$c = (c_1, \ldots, c_s) \text{ where } c_i \in \mathrm{GL}(U_i) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s.$$

Then

$$(h_1^{c_1}, \ldots, h_s^{c_s}) = h^c = h = (h_1, \ldots, h_s).$$

It follows that $h_i^{c_i} = h_i$, and hence $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. This implies that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i).$$

In the other direction, choose any $c \in \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$. Then $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_s)$, where $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Thus, we have

$$h^c = (h_1^{c_1}, \ldots, h_s^{c_s}) = (h_1, \ldots, h_s) = h.$$

It follows that $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, and hence the statement holds. □

Applying Proposition 3.6 along with Lemma 3.15, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.16. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f) \times \mathrm{GL}(e_2, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_t, p^f)$.

Let M_i be the image of M under the natural projection from M to $\mathrm{GL}(U_i)$ for each i. According to Lemma 3.14, we obtain that $M_i \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Conversely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.17. *$M_i = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$.*

Proof. Abbreviate $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)$ by N_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. By Lemma 3.15, we conclude that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i) \leqslant M_i$. By Lemma 3.13, we have

$$V_M = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_s,$$

where all U_i are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$-modules.

By Proposition 3.10, we may choose $\phi_i \in N_i$ such that $h_i^{\phi_i} = h_i^p$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Set $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_s)$. Then we have

$$h^\phi = (h_1^{\phi_1}, \ldots, h_s^{\phi_s}) = (h_1^p, \ldots, h_s^p) = h^p.$$

It follows that ϕ is contained in M, forcing that ϕ_i belongs to M_i. By definition, the action of M on U_i is equivalent to the action of M_i on U_i. Note that U_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module, we conclude that M_i acts irreducibly on U_i. Let $C_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(U_i)}(H_i)\langle\phi_i\rangle$. Then C_i is a subgroup of M_i. By Proposition 3.10, $(U_i)_{H_i}$ has $\frac{|N_i|}{|C_i|}$ pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components, and thus $M_i = N_i$ because M_i/C_i induces a permutation group acting regularly on $\frac{|N_i|}{|C_i|}$ homogeneous components, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. This completes the proof. □

In what follows, we continue to use the notation introduced above. With these notions in hand, we start to show the main results of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For ease of narration, we may view V as an $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module of dimension d, refer to Lemma 2.2. If V is an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module, then, by Proposition 3.10, we are done. Next we will consider that V is a reducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$-module. By Lemma 3.13, V can be decomposed as

$$V_M = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_s,$$

where all V_i are pairwise non-isomorphic and irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$-modules, as in Theorem 1.1 (i).

Let G_i be the factor group of G modulo $\prod_{j \neq i} V_j$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Then $G_i = V_i{:}H_i$ where $H_i \cong H = \mathrm{C}_n$, and it implies that $G_i = V_i{:}\mathrm{C}_n$ is a Frobenius group. Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Then the group $G = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_s){:}H$ can be embedded into

$$(V_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_s{:}H_s),$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_s)$. Since M fixes each V_i, we deduce that $M \leqslant \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(V_s)$. Let M_i be the image of M under the

natural projection from M to $\mathrm{GL}(V_i)$. Then the action of M (resp. H) on V_i is by definition equivalent to the action of M_i (resp. H_i) on V_i. It follows that M_i acts irreducibly on V_i, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. By Clifford's Theorem, V_i can be decomposed as an $\mathbb{F}_p H$-module as

$$(V_i)_H = V_{i1}^{e_i} \times \cdots \times V_{i\ell_i}^{e_i},$$

where all $V_{ij}^{e_i}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic and homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$-modules, all V_{ij} are irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H$-modules, and all e_i are positive integers. According to [3], all V_{ij} have the same dimension f over $\mathbb{F}_p$. For arbitrary i, by Lemma 3.17, we have $M_i = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i)$, and thus

$$M_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i).L_i, \text{ and } \langle \phi_i \rangle \leqslant L_i \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H_i),$$

where $|L_i| = f\ell_i$, and $(V_i)_{H_i}$ has ℓ_i pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components, refer to Proposition 3.10. Let $\overline{M}_i = M_i/\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i)$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Let $\overline{M} = M/\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. By definition of M_i, we deduce that $\overline{M}$ is isomorphic to a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \overline{M}_i$. It follows that $\overline{M} \cong \overline{M}_i \cong L_i$ for each i. As a matter of convenience, we again denote by ϕ_i the preimage of ϕ_i in M_i, under $M_i \to \overline{M}_i$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h_i^{\phi_i} = h_i^p$ for each i. Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_s)$. Then ϕ induces a field automorphism of H of order f. Thus ϕ belongs to M. Let $N = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle \phi \rangle$. Let $N_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)\langle \phi_i \rangle$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. By the above discussion, M/N is isomorphic to a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{s} M_i/N_i$. It follows that $M_i/N_i \cong M_j/N_j$, forcing $\ell_i = \ell_j = \ell$ where $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant s$, as in Theorem 1.1 (ii).

Let $M/N = L$. Then $|L| = \ell$. By Proposition 3.6, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Thus $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell$, see Corollary 3.16. To sum up, $M = ((\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell)\langle \phi \rangle).L$, and each V_i has ℓ pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components, where $d = \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_i f \ell$. By Lemma 2.2, $\mathsf{Aut}(G) = V.(((\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell)\langle \phi \rangle).L)$, as in Theorem 1.1 (iii), completing the proof. □

As a consequence of our results, we are also able to obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.18. *Using the notation defined in Theorem 1.1, then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f)^\ell \times \mathrm{GL}(e_2, p^f)^\ell \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_s, p^f)^\ell.$$

For $X \leqslant Y$ define $\mathsf{Aut}_Y(X) = \mathbf{N}_Y(X)/\mathbf{C}_Y(X)$ to be the automizer in Y of X. Then $\mathsf{Aut}_Y(X) \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(X)$, and indeed $\mathsf{Aut}_Y(X)$ is the group of automorphisms induced on X in Y.

Corollary 3.19. *Let $G = V{:}H = \mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{C}_{q^\ell}$ be a Frobenius group, where p, q are two distinct primes, and d, ℓ are positive integers. Let $f = \mathrm{ord}_{q^\ell}(p)$. Then we have:*

(i) *if $q = 2$, then $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = L$ where $\mathrm{C}_f \leqslant L \leqslant \mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_{2^{\ell-2}}$;*
(ii) *if q is odd, then $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathrm{C}_l$ where $\mathrm{C}_f \leqslant \mathrm{C}_l \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{q^{\ell-1}(q-1)}$.*

4. The automorphism groups of Frobenius groups $(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{C}_{p_i}^{d_i}){:}\mathrm{C}_n$

In this section, we assume that $G = V{:}H = (\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{C}_{p_i}^{d_i}){:}\mathrm{C}_n$ with $k \geqslant 2$, where all p_i are pairwise distinct primes. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}$ be a field of order p_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. View

$\mathrm{C}_{p_i}^{d_i}$ as a vector space of dimension d_i over $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}$, and denoted by V_i. The action of H on the vector space V_i can be further viewed as a faithful $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}H$-module.

Therefore, in order to determine $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$, by Lemma 2.2, we only need to determine the normaliser

$$M := \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H).$$

The group $G = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k){:}H$ can be embedded as a Frobenius group into

$$(V_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_k{:}H_k),$$

such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ where $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.

With the help of this preparation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *$M \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_1,p_1)}(H_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_k,p_k)}(H_k)$.*

Proof. Since all p_i are pairwise distinct primes, it follows that

$$\mathsf{Aut}(V) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{Aut}(V_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{GL}(d_i, p_i).$$

Then, for any $\sigma \in M$, σ can be written as

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k) \text{ where } \sigma_i \in \mathrm{GL}(V_i) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k.$$

Thus there exists an integer λ such that

$$(h_1^{\sigma_1}, \ldots, h_k^{\sigma_k}) = h^{\sigma} = h^{\lambda} = (h_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, h_k^{\lambda})$$

where $(\lambda, n) = 1$ and $1 \leqslant \lambda < n$. It follows that $h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^{\lambda}$, forcing that σ_i belongs to $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Therefore, $M \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. □

Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.15, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 4.2. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_1)}(H_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_k)}(H_k)$.

For each i, let M_i be the image of M under the natural projection from M to $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$, refer to Lemma 4.1. Then the action of M_i on V_i is by definition equivalent to the action of M on V_i, and hence

$$M \leqslant M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k.$$

By Lemma 3.13, we have

$$(V_i)_{M_i} = V_{i1} \times \cdots \times V_{is_i},$$

where all V_{ij} are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}M_i$-modules for each i. Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then the group $G_i = (V_{i1} \times \cdots \times V_{is_i}){:}H_i$ can be embedded as a Frobenius group into

$$(V_{i1}{:}H_{i1}) \times \cdots \times (V_{is_i}{:}H_{is_i})$$

such that $h_i = (h_{i1}, \ldots, h_{is_i})$, where $H_{ij} = \langle h_{ij} \rangle$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_i$.

Arguing similarly as Lemma 3.14, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *$M_i \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{i1})}(H_{i1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{is_i})}(H_{is_i})$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.*

For each prime p_i, let f_i be the order of p_i modulo n. Let M_{ij} be the image of M_i under the natural projection from M_i to $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij})$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_i$, see Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.4. *$M_{ij} = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij}).\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle).L_{ij}$, where $\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_{ij} \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H_{ij})$, $\phi_i^{\lambda_i}$ is a field automorphism of order $\frac{f_i}{\lambda_i}$, and $(V_{ij})_{H_{ij}}$ has $|L_{ij}|$ pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.10, $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij})K_{ij}$, where $\langle\phi_{ij}\rangle \leqslant K_{ij} \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H_{ij})$, and ϕ_{ij} is a field automorphism of order f_i. In addition, $(V_{ij})_{H_{ij}}$ has $|K_{ij}|/f_i$ pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components. By Lemmas 3.15 and 4.2, we conclude that $\mathbf{C}_{M_{ij}}(H_{ij}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\langle\phi_{ij}^{\lambda_{ij}}\rangle$ is a group of field automorphisms induced on H_{ij} in M_{ij}, where λ_{ij} is a divisor of f_i for all i, j. Let $N_{ij} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_{ij})}(H_{ij}).\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle$. Then N_{ij} is a normal subgroup of M_{ij}. Arguing similarly as Proposition 3.10, it is shown that $M_{ij}/N_{ij} \cong K_{ij}/\langle\phi_{ij}\rangle$. Let $L_{ij} = M_{ij}/N_{ij}$. It follows that $L_{ij} \cong K_{ij}/\langle\phi_{ij}\rangle$, namely, $(V_{ij})_{H_{ij}}$ has $|L_{ij}|$ pairwise non-isomorphic homogeneous components, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_i$. This ends the proof. □

We remark that in the above proof the field automorphisms group $\langle\phi_{ij}^{\lambda_{ij}}\rangle$ may be trivial.

By an approach similar to that of Theorem 1.1, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *With the notation above, then $M_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_i,p_i)}(H_i).\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_i$, where $\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle \cong \langle\phi_{ij}^{\lambda_{ij}}\rangle$, and $L_i \cong L_{ij}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_i$.*

By Lemma 4.2 along with Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Using the notation defined in Proposition 4.5, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_i,p_i)}(H_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathrm{GL}(e_{ij}, p_i^{f_i})^{\ell_i}$, where $f_i = \mathrm{ord}_n(p_i)$, $\ell_i = |L_i|$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \ell_i e_{ij} f_i = d_i$ for each i.*

With this preparation we are ready to embark on the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let $V = \prod_{i=1}^{k} V_i$, and let $M = \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H)$. Note that each V_i is a characteristic subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.2, we may view V_i as an $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}M$-module of dimension d_i. Let G_i be the factor group of G modulo $\prod_{j\neq i} V_j$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then $G_i = V_i{:}H_i$ where $H_i = \langle h_i\rangle = \mathrm{C}_n$, and it implies that $G_i = \mathrm{C}_{p_i}^{d_i}{:}\mathrm{C}_n$ is a Frobenius group. The group $G = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k){:}H$ can be embedded as a Frobenius subgroup into

$$(V_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_k{:}H_k),$$

such that $H = \langle h\rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_k)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that G is a subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{k} G_i$. For each i, let M_i be the image of M under the natural projection from M to $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V_i)}(H_i)$, see Lemma 4.1. Then M is a subgroup of $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$. It follows that the action of M (resp. H) on V_i is by definition equivalent to the action of M_i (resp. H_i) on V_i for each i. By Lemma 3.13,

$$(V_i)_M = V_{i1} \times \cdots \times V_{is_i},$$

where all V_{ij} are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}M$-modules, as in part (i).

By Clifford's Theorem, $(V_{ij})_H = V_{ij1}^{e_{ij}} \times V_{ij2}^{e_{ij}} \times \cdots \times V_{ij\ell_{ij}}^{e_{ij}}$, where all $V_{ijk}^{e_{ij}}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic and homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}H$-modules, all V_{ijk} are irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}H$-modules, and all e_{ij} are positive integers. By Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, we conclude that $\ell_{ij} = \ell_i$ for any j. Let $f_i = \mathrm{ord}_n(p_i)$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. By [3], all V_{ijk} have the same dimension f_i over $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, as in part (ii).

For any i, by Proposition 4.5, we have $M_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_i,p_i)}(H_i).\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_i$, where $\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_i \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H_i)$, and $|L_i| = \ell_i$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. By Lemma 4.2 along with Corollary 4.6,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d_i,p_i)}(H_i) = \prod_{i,j}^{k,s_i} \mathrm{GL}(e_{ij}, p_i^{f_i})^{\ell_i},$$

where $\sum_j^{s_i} \ell_i e_{ij} f_i = d_i$. Let $L = M/\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H)$, and $|L| = \ell$. By Proposition 4.5, we deduce that L is isomorphic to a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{k}\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_i$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that L is a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{k}\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle.L_i$. It follows that $M = \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H).L$, and each $\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle$ has order ℓ/ℓ_i where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Let $\hat{H}_i \cong \mathrm{C}_{p_i^{f_i}-1}$ be a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathrm{GL}(e_{ij}, p^{f_i})^{\ell_i}$. By the above paragraphs, H_i can be embedded as an irreducible subgroup into $\hat{H}_i$. It is clear that the field automorphisms of H_i induced by L are by definition the same as the field automorphisms of $\hat{H}_i$ induced by L for each i. Thus, $\langle\phi_i^{\lambda_i}\rangle$ is the group of Frobenius automorphisms induced on $\hat{H}_i$ in L, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, as in part (iii). □

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *With the notation in Theorem 1.2, then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{Aut}(V)}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(\mathrm{GL}(e_{i1}, p_i^{f_i})^{\ell_i} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_{is_i}, p_i^{f_i})^{\ell_i}).$$

References

[1] M. Aschbacher, Finite Group Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[2] B. P. Corr, C. E. Praeger, Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **42** (2015) 803-827.
[3] A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, Nilpotent primitive linear groups over finite fields, *Comm. Algebra.* **33** (2005) 497-505.
[4] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, On normal 2-geodesic transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **39** (2014) 903-918.
[5] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
[6] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
[7] X. G. Fang, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, On orbital regular graphs and frobenius graphs, *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998) 85-99.
[8] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981) 243-256.
[9] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Harper and Row, 1968.
[10] R. M. Guralnick, Frobenius groups as monodromy groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **85** (2008) 191-196.
[11] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
[12] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
[13] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra II, Freeman, San Francisco, 1980.
[14] C. H. Li, J. M. Pan, S. J. Song, D. J. Wang, A characterization of a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **107** (2014) 12-25.
[15] C. H. Li, L. Wang, Relative elementary abelian groups, and a class of edge-transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2016) 241-251.

[16] S. J. Song, C. H. Li, D. J. Wang, Classifying a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **35** (2012) 497-513.
[17] S. J. Song, C. H. Li, D. J. Wang, A family of edge-transitive Frobenius metacirculants of small valency, *European J. Combin.* **34** (2013) 512-521.
[18] A. I. Starostin, On Frobenius groups, *Ukrain. Mat. Ž.* **23** (1971) 629-639.
[19] J. G. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **45** (1959) 578-581.
[20] J. G. Thompson, Normal p-complements for finite groups, *Math. Z.* **72** (1960) 332-354.
[21] H. Zassenhaus, Über endliche Fastkörper, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg. Univ.* **11** (1936) 187-220.

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China
E-mail address: wanglei-2468@163.com